Peer Review Forms	
Author	Reviewer
	1) to help improve your classmate's paper by pointing out strengths be apparent to the author, and 2) to help improve editing skills.
	you twice, once to get an overview of the paper, and a second time ism for the author to use when revising his/her paper. Answer the
ORGANIZATION (10%)	
1. Were the basic sections (what is missing?	Introduction, Conclusion, Literature Cited, etc.) adequate? If not,
	adings well to clarify the sections of the text? Explain. I in a way that was logical, clear, easy to follow? Explain.
CITATIONS (20%)	
	es adequately and appropriately? Note any incorrect formatting.
5. Were all the citations in t discrepancies.	the text listed in the Literature Cited section? Note any
GRAMMAR AND STYLE (2	20%)
6. Were there any grammat	ical or spelling problems?

7. Was the writer's writing style clear? Were the paragraphs and sentences cohesive?
CONTENT (50%)
8. Did the writer adequately summarize and discuss the topic? Explain.
9. Did the writer comprehensively cover appropriate materials available from the standard sources (e.g., UH, NMFS, FWS libraries)? If no, what's missing?
10. Did the writer make some contribution of thought to the paper, or merely summarize data or publications? Explain.
Modified from - Mānoa Writing Program · 2545 McCarthy Mall, Bilger Hall 104 · Honolulu, HI 96822 · (808) 956-6660 · mwp@hawaii.edu